
Table of Contents

ITEM 4: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING DISCLOSURE OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYMENT

The Corporation has received the following stockholder proposal from Evelyn Y. Davis, Watergate Office Building, 2600 Virginia Ave., N.W., Suite 215, Washington, D.C. 20037. According to information provided to the Corporation by Ms. Davis, Ms. Davis owned 1,720 shares of our Common Stock as of the date the proposal was submitted to the Corporation.

RESOLVED: "That the stockholders of Bank of America assembled in Annual Meeting in person and by proxy hereby request the Board of Directors to have the Company furnish the stockholders each year with a list of people employed by the Corporation with the rank of Vice President or above, or as a consultant, or as a lobbyist, or as legal counsel or investment banker or director, who, in the previous five years have served in any governmental capacity, whether Federal, City or State, or as a staff member of any CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE or regulatory agency, and to disclose to the stockholders whether such person was engaged in any matter which had a bearing on the business of the Corporation and/or its subsidiaries, provided that information directly affecting the competitive position of the Corporation may be omitted."

Stockholder's Statement Supporting Item 4:

REASONS: "Full disclosure on these matters is essential at Bank of America because of its many dealing with Federal and State agencies, and because of pending issues forthcoming in Congress and/or State and Regulatory Agencies." The recent acquisition of Countrywide Financial make these disclosures especially important!!!

"If you AGREE, please mark your proxy FOR this resolution."

The Board recommends a vote "AGAINST" Item 4 for the following reasons:

The Board of Directors has considered this proposal and believes its adoption is unnecessary because the laws and regulations regarding the conduct of current and former government employees in their relationships with governmental agencies, and the disclosure required by such laws and regulations, provide sufficient safeguards against impropriety.

The disclosures sought by the proposal would duplicate much of the information required by law to be made publicly available, providing little additional value to our stockholders. The Corporation has over 11,000 associates with the title of Senior Vice President or above. The proposal would therefore also require the Corporation to undertake inquiries into the backgrounds of a large number of people. Not only would the Corporation be required to research the employment histories of a portion of its employee population, but it would also be required to conduct inquiries into the backgrounds of the massive number of individuals retained by the Corporation for professional services, such as attorneys, lobbyists, investment bankers and consultants. Such professional service providers are governed by conflict of interest and professional conduct rules and may also have only a tangential relationship to the Corporation.

For the foregoing reasons, the Board recommends a vote against the proposal.

ITEM 5: STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

BACproxyVote.com says FOR

The Corporation has received the following stockholder proposal from Kenneth Steiner, 14 Stoner Avenue, 2M, Great Neck, New York 11021. According to information provided to the Corporation by Mr. Steiner, Mr. Steiner owned 1,076 shares of our Common Stock as of the date the proposal was submitted to the Corporation.

5 – Shareholder Say on Executive Pay

RESOLVED, that shareholders request our board of directors to adopt a policy that provides shareholders the opportunity at each annual shareholder meeting to vote on an advisory resolution, proposed by management, to ratify the compensation of the named executive officers set forth in the proxy statement's Summary Compensation Table and the accompanying narrative disclosure of material factors provided to understand the Summary Compensation Table (but not the Compensation Discussion and Analysis). The proposal submitted to shareholders should make clear that the vote is non-binding and would not affect any compensation paid or awarded to any named executive officers.

Table of Contents**Stockholder's Statement Supporting Item 5:****Statement of Kenneth Steiner**

Investors are increasingly concerned about mushrooming executive pay especially when it is insufficiently linked to performance. In 2008, shareholders filed close to 100 "Say on Pay" resolutions. Votes on these resolutions have averaged 43% in favor, with ten votes over 50%, demonstrating strong shareholder support for this reform.

To date eight companies have agreed to an Advisory Vote, including Verizon, MBIA, H&R Block, Blockbuster, and Tech Data. TIAA-CREF, the country's largest pension fund, has successfully utilized the Advisory Vote twice.

RiskMetrics Group, an influential proxy voting service, recommends votes in favor, noting: "An advisory vote on executive compensation is another step forward in enhancing board accountability."

"There should be no doubt that executive compensation lies at the root of the current financial crisis," wrote Paul Hodgson, a senior research associate with research firm The Corporate Library. "There is a direct link between the behaviors that led to this financial collapse and the short-term compensation programs so common in financial services companies that rewarded short-term gains and short-term stock price increases with extremely generous pay levels."

Shareholders at Wachovia and Merrill Lynch did not support 2008 "Say on Pay" ballot proposals. Now these shareholders don't have much of a say on anything.

The Corporate Library www.thecorporatelibrary.com, an independent investment research firm, rated our company "Very High Concern" in executive pay. Our CEO Kenneth Lewis had \$24 million in executive pay. Mr. Lewis also gained \$77 million by exercising options in 2006 according to The Corporate Library.

Meanwhile our "oversight" Board of Directors for Mr. Lewis is composed of five directors who are designated as "Problem Directors" by The Corporate Library. This was due to their involvement with the FleetBoston board, which approved a major round of executive rewards even as FleetBoston was being investigated by regulators for multiple instances of improper activity:

Thomas Ryan
William Barnet
John Collins
Gary Countryman
Charles Gifford

Plus three of our directors were designated as "Accelerated Vesting" directors by The Corporate Library. This was due to their speeding up the vesting of stock options in order to avoid recognizing the related cost:

Patricia E. Mitchell
Charles K. Gifford
Jacquelyn M. Ward

I urge our board to allow shareholders to express their opinion about senior executive compensation through an Advisory Vote:

**Shareholder Say on Executive Pay--
Yes on 5**

The Board recommends a vote "AGAINST" Item 5 for the following reasons:

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (the "Act"), which amends the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act, was enacted on February 17, 2009. The Act requires that any proxy statement for an annual meeting of the stockholders of any Troubled Asset Relief Program ("TARP") recipient during the period in which any obligation arising from financial assistance provided under the TARP remains outstanding shall permit a separate stockholder vote to approve the compensation of executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Commission (which disclosure shall include the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables, and any related material). Accordingly, the Corporation has included a stockholder vote on executive compensation as Item 3 in this proxy statement. Because Item 3 provides a current opportunity for stockholders to vote on the compensation of executive officers presented herein, the Corporation believes that the proposal is unnecessary.

For these reasons, the Board opposes the advisory vote requested in the proposal.